

Environmental risk assessment of import and processing of genetically modified maize DP4114xMON89034xMON87411xDAS-40278-9 and its sub-combinations

COGEM advice CGM/210709-01

- The present application (EFSA/GMO/NL/2020/171) concerns the authorisation for import and processing for use in feed and food of genetically modified (GM) maize DP4114xMON89034xMON87411xDAS-40278-9 and its sub-combinations;
- The stacked event DP4114xMON89034xMON87411xDAS-40278-9 was produced by conventional crossbreeding of the four GM parental maize lines;
- The GM maize expresses Cry1F, Cry34Ab1, Cry35Ab1, Cry1A.105, Cry2Ab2, Cry3Bb1, CP4 EPSPS, PAT and AAD-1 proteins and contains DvSnf7 double-stranded ribonucleic acid (dsRNA), conferring resistance to certain lepidopteran and coleopteran pests, and tolerance to glyphosate, glufosinate-ammonium, 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D) and aryloxyphenoxypropionate (AOPP) containing herbicides.
- In the Netherlands, feral maize populations have never been observed and the appearance of volunteers is rare;
- In the Netherlands, the wild relative of maize, teosinte is not present in the natural environment, hybridisation of GM maize with other species is therefore not possible;
- The molecular characterisation of DP4114xMON89034xMON87411xDAS-40278-9 has been updated and meets the criteria of COGEM;
- The updated bioinformatic analyses do not provide indications for potential environmental risks;
- There are no indications that the introduced traits allow the stacked event maize DP4114xMON89034xMON87411xDAS-40278-9 to survive in the Netherlands;
- There are no indications that DP4114xMON89034xMON87411xDAS-40278-9 could establish feral populations in the Netherlands;
- COGEM is of the opinion that import and processing of maize DP4114xMON89034xMON87411xDAS-40278-9 and GM maize consisting of sub-combinations of its parental GM maize lines pose a negligible risk to the environment in the Netherlands;
- COGEM abstains from giving advice on the potential risks of incidental consumption since a food/feed assessment is carried out by other organisations.

1. Introduction

The present application (EFSA/GMO/NL/2020/171), filed by Pioneer Hi-bred International, Inc., concerns the import and processing of genetically modified (GM) maize DP4114xMON89034xMON87411xDAS-40278-9 and GM maize consisting of its sub-combinations for use in feed and food. The GM maize was produced by conventional crossbreeding of the four GM parental maize lines. It expresses the Cry1F, Cry34Ab1, Cry35Ab1, Cry1A.105, Cry2Ab2, Cry3Bb1, CP4 EPSPS, PAT and AAD-1 proteins and the DvSnf7 double-stranded ribonucleic acid (dsRNA), conferring resistance to certain lepidopteran and coleopteran pests, and tolerance to glyphosate, glufosinate-ammonium, 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D) and aryloxyphenoxypropionate (AOPP) containing herbicides.

The parental lines DP4114¹, MON89034², MON87411³ and DAS-40278-9⁴ have all been authorised for import and processing for use in food and feed in the European Union.

2. Previous COGEM advice

Previously COGEM advised positively on the import and processing of the parental lines DP4114⁵, MON89034⁶, MON87411⁷ and DAS-40278-9⁸. COGEM also advised positively on the import and processing of several stacked events containing parental lines of the stacked event under assessment, including MON89034x1507xMIR162xNK603xDAS-40278-9⁹ and MON87427xMON89034x1507xMON87411x59122xDAS-40278-9.¹⁰

3. Environmental risk assessment

3.1 Characteristics of maize

Maize (*Zea mays*) is a member of the grass family *Poaceae*. It is a highly domesticated crop originating from Central America, but nowadays cultivated globally. Maize is wind pollinated^{11,12} and has both male and female flowers that are spatially separated. The female flowers are not attractive to insect pollinators, because they do not produce nectar. Insect pollination of maize is highly limited but cannot be excluded.¹³

Hybridisation of GM maize with other species than teosinte, the wild relative of maize, cannot occur.

Maize requires warm conditions in order to grow.^{13,14} In cultivation areas with warm climatic conditions, the appearance of volunteers can occur the year following maize cultivation due to spilled cobs or kernels. However, these volunteers are usually killed by common mechanical pre-planting soil preparation practices.¹³ Maize does not tolerate prolonged cold and frost.¹⁵

Maize is very sensitive to weed competition.¹⁶ During the long process of domestication, maize has lost the ability to persist in the wild.¹² A soil seed bank, small seeds, and an extended period of flowering and seed production are characteristics often observed in persistent weeds.¹⁷ Maize lacks all these characteristics. After ripening, the seeds (the kernels) adhere to the cob and do not scatter naturally.^{13,18} Consequently, seed dispersal is severely hampered.

3.2 Receiving environment

In the Netherlands, the appearance of maize volunteers is rare, although maize plants occasionally have been observed outside agricultural fields.^{19,20} Any volunteers emerging will be killed by frost at the onset of winter.¹⁵ COGEM is not aware of any reports of feral maize populations in the Netherlands. Additionally, hybridisation of GM maize with teosinte is not possible, as teosinte is absent in maize fields and in nature in the Netherlands.¹⁵

Conclusion: In the Netherlands, feral maize populations do not occur and hybridisation of maize with other species is impossible.

3.2 Description of the introduced genes, traits and regulatory elements

Maize DP4114xMON89034xMON87411xDAS-40278-9 was created by conventional cross-breeding of the parental lines. For a description of the parental lines, see previous COGEM advices.^{5,6,7,8} A description of the inserted genetic elements is listed in the table below. The list is limited to information on the introduced genes, corresponding traits, and regulatory elements (promoters and terminators).

| Introduced genes | Encoded proteins | Traits | Regulatory elements |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| <i>cry1F</i> (DP4114) | Truncated Cry1F protein originating from <i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> var. <i>aizawai</i> ²¹ | Resistance to certain lepidopteran insects | Polyubiquitin (<i>ubiZM1</i>) promoter from <i>Zea mays</i> and ORF25 terminator from <i>Agrobacterium tumefaciens</i> strain pTi15955 |
| <i>cry34Ab1</i> (DP4114) | Cry34Ab1 protein originating from <i>B. thuringiensis</i> strain PS149B1 ^{22,23,24,25,26} | Resistance to certain lepidopteran insects | <i>UbiZm1</i> promoter from <i>Z. mays</i> and proteinase inhibitor II (<i>pinII</i>) terminator from <i>Solanum tuberosum</i> |
| <i>cry35Ab1</i> (DP4114) | Cry35Ab1 protein originating from <i>B. thuringiensis</i> strain PS149B1 ^{22,23,24,25,26} | Resistance to certain lepidopteran insects | TA peroxidase promoter from <i>Triticum aestivum</i> and <i>pinII</i> terminator from <i>S. tuberosum</i> |
| <i>cry1A.105</i> (MON89034) | The Cry1A.105 protein is a chimeric protein with domains from different Cry1 proteins from <i>B. thuringiensis</i> subsp. <i>kumamotoensis</i> ²⁷ | Resistance to certain lepidopteran insects | Enhanced 35S (<i>e35S</i>) promoter from <i>Cauliflower mosaic virus</i> (CaMV) and T-Hsp17 terminator from <i>Triticum aestivum</i> |
| <i>cry2Ab2</i> (MON89034) | Variant of the Cry2Ab2 protein from <i>B. thuringiensis</i> subsp. <i>kurstaki</i> ²⁷ | Resistance to certain lepidopteran insects | Promoter from <i>Figwort mosaic virus</i> (FMV) and nopaline synthase (<i>nos</i>) |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|--|
| | | | terminator from <i>A. tumefaciens</i> |
| <i>cry3Bb1</i> (MON87411) | Variant of the Cry3Bb1 protein originating from <i>B. thuringiensis</i> subsp. <i>kumamotoensis</i> ²⁸ | Resistance to certain coleopteran insects | PIIG promoter from <i>Z. mays</i> and Hsp17 3'UTR from <i>T. aestivum</i> |
| <i>cp4 epsps</i> (MON87411) | The 5-enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase (EPSPS) enzyme originating from <i>A. tumefaciens</i> strain CP4 ²⁹ | Tolerance to glyphosate containing herbicides | Enhanced 35S (<i>e35S</i>) promoter from <i>Cauliflower mosaic virus</i> (CaMV) and nopaline synthase (<i>nos</i>) terminator from <i>A. tumefaciens</i> |
| <i>pat</i> (DP4114) | Plant optimised version of phosphinothricin acetyl transferase (PAT) from <i>Streptomyces viridochromogenes</i> strain Tü 494 ^{30,31,32} | Tolerance to glufosinate-ammonium containing herbicides | 35S promoter and 35S terminator from <i>Cauliflower mosaic virus</i> (CaMV) |
| <i>aad-1</i> (DAS-40278-9) | Aryloxyalkanoate dioxygenase (AAD-1) enzyme originating from <i>Sphingobium herbicidovorans</i> ³³ | Tolerance to several synthetic auxin acting herbicides like 2,4 dichlorophenoxyacetic acid' (2,4-D) and to AOPP containing herbicides | Polyubiquitin promoter (<i>ZmUbiInt</i>) and <i>Zmper5</i> 3'UTR terminator from <i>Z. mays</i> |
| <i>DvSnf7b</i> (MON87411) | Expresses a dsRNA transcript that contains a fragment of the <i>Snf7</i> gene from <i>Diabrotica virgifera virgifera</i> ³⁴ | Resistance to certain coleopteran insects | Enhanced 35S (<i>e35S</i>) promoter from <i>Cauliflower mosaic virus</i> (CaMV) and E9 gene 3'UTR from <i>Pisum sativum</i> |
| For a detailed description of the introduced genes and traits, see references | | | |

3.3 Molecular characterisation

Previously, COGEM evaluated the molecular characterisation of each parental line and considered these to be adequate.^{5,6,7,8}

The integrity and copy number of the inserts in DP4114xMON89034xMON87411xDAS-40278-9 were confirmed by Southern blot analysis and were determined to be equivalent to the inserts in the corresponding parental lines. The applicant resequenced the inserts and their flanking regions and compared the obtained sequences with the sequences of the corresponding parental lines. According

to the applicant, the insert and flanking regions in the stacked maize event are identical to the sequences determined previously for the respective parental lines.

The applicant updated the bioinformatics analyses of the inherited inserted elements and the sequences spanning the insertion sites and 5' and 3' flanking regions using recent databases. According to the applicant, the putative products of the open reading frames spanning the 5' and 3' junctions of the inserts, and those within the inserts themselves, did not generate any protein sequence similarity with known allergens or toxins.

The molecular characterisation was conducted according to the criteria previously laid down by COGEM.³⁵ The results from the updated molecular characterisation do not provide indications that the stacked event maize DP4114xMON89034xMON87411xDAS-40278-9 could pose a risk to the environment.

Conclusion: The molecular characterisation of the stacked event maize DP4114xMON89034xMON87411xDAS-40278-9 is adequate and no indications for potential environmental risks were identified

3.4 Phenotypic and agronomic characteristics

Previously, COGEM evaluated the phenotypic and agronomic characteristics of each parental line of DP4114xMON89034xMON87411xDAS-40278-9, and found no deviations between the parental line and the conventional control influencing the outcome of the environmental risk assessment.

The applicant analysed the phenotypic and agronomic characteristics of DP4114xMON89034xMON87411xDAS-40278-9 and noted that these, except for the introduced traits, are not different from the non-GM control (conventional counterpart), and are equivalent to the reference varieties, taking into account natural variation. The results of the phenotypic and agronomic evaluation do not give reason to assume that the GM maize could pose an environmental risk.

In conclusion, COGEM is of the opinion that there are no reasons to assume that the introduced traits in DP4114xMON89034xMON87411xDAS-40278-9 allow it or its sub-combinations to survive or establish in the Dutch environment.

Conclusion: There are no indications that the introduced traits allow DP4114xMON89034xMON87411xDAS-40278-9 or its sub-combinations to survive in the Netherlands or that this GM maize line has an increased potential to establish feral populations in the Netherlands.

4. Food/feed assessment

This application is submitted under Regulation (EC) 1829/2003, therefore a food/feed assessment is carried out by EFSA and national organisations involved in the assessment of food safety. In the Netherlands, a food and/or feed assessment for Regulation (EC) 1829/2003 applications is carried out by Wageningen Food Safety Research (WFSR). The outcome of the assessment by other organisations (EFSA, WFSR) was not known when this advice was completed.

5. Post-market environmental monitoring (PMEM)

The applicant supplied a general surveillance (GS) plan as part of the post-market environmental monitoring (PMEM). COGEM has published several recommendations for further improvement of the general surveillance (GS) plan,^{36,37} but considers the current GS plan adequate for the import and processing of maize DP4114xMON89034xMON87411xDAS-40278-9.

6. Overall conclusion

Conclusion: COGEM is of the opinion that import and processing of DP4114xMON89034xMON87411xDAS-40278-9 maize and GM maize consisting of sub-combinations of the stacked maize line poses a negligible risk to the environment in the Netherlands.

COGEM abstains from giving advice on the potential risks of incidental consumption since other organisations carry out a food/feed assessment.

References

1. European Commission (2019). Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2019/1304 of 26 July 2019 authorising the placing on the market of products containing, consisting of or produced from genetically modified maize 4114 (DP-ØØ4114-3), pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council (C(2019) 5491). Official Journal of the European Union. L 204/65
2. European Commission (2009). Commission Decision of 30 October 2009 authorising the placing on the market of products containing, consisting of, or produced from genetically modified maize MON 89034 (MON-89Ø34-3) pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council. (C(2009) 8383). Official Journal of the European Union. L 289/21
3. European Commission (2019). Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2019/1308 of 26 July 2019 authorising the placing on the market of products containing, consisting of or produced from genetically modified maize MON 87411 (MON-87411-9), pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council (C(2019) 5487). Official Journal of the European Union. L 204/85
4. European Commission (2017). Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2017/1212 of 4 July 2017 authorising the placing on the market of products containing, consisting of, or produced from genetically modified maize DAS-40278-9, pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003 of the European parliament and of the Council on genetically modified food and feed (C(2017) 4503). Official Journal of the European Union. L 173/43
5. COGEM (2015). Advies import en verwerking van genetisch gemodificeerde 4114 maïs. COGEM advies CGM/150528-01
6. COGEM (2009). Advies moleculaire karakterisering van maïs MON89034 (EFSA/GMO/NL/2007/37). COGEM advies CGM/090126-01
7. COGEM (2015). Advies import en verwerking van de genetisch gemodificeerde maïslijn MON87411. COGEM advise CGM/151029-01

8. COGEM (2011). Advies import and processing of genetically modified maize DAS-470728-9. COGEM advies CGM/110510-01
9. COGEM (2018). Advies import en verwerking van gg-maïs MON89034x1507xMIR162xNK603xDAS-40278-9. COGEM advice CGM/181220-03
10. COGEM (2018). Advies import en verwerking van gg-maïs MON87427xMON89034x1507xMON87411x59122xDAS-40278-9. COGEM advice CGM/180806-03
11. Hin CJA (2001). Landbouwkundige risico's van uitkruising van GGO-gewassen. Centrum voor Landbouw en Milieu (CLM)
12. Treu R & Emberlin J (2000). Pollen dispersal in the crops Maize (*Zea mays*), Oil Seed Rape (*Brassica napus* ssp. *oleifera*), Potatoes (*Solanum tuberosum*), Sugar Beet (*Beta vulgaris* ssp. *vulgaris*) and Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). Evidence from Publications. Soil Association
13. Andersson M *et al.* (2010). Gene flow between crops and their wild relatives. The John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, Maryland, The United States of America
14. Miedema P (1982). The effect of low temperature on *Zea mays*. Adv. Agron. 35: 93-128
15. Huiting HF *et al.* (2018). Are teosinte and feral maize present in the Netherlands? COGEM report CGM 2018-06
16. CAB International (2007). Crop Protection Compendium. *Zea mays* (maize). CD-ROM edition, Wallingford
17. Kos SP *et al.* (2012). Can transgenic crops go wild? A literature study on using plant traits for weediness pre-screening. COGEM research report CGM 2012-01
18. Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) (2003). Consensus document on the biology of *Zea mays* ssp. *mays* (Maize)
19. Trtikova M *et al.* (2017). Teosinte in Europe - Searching for the origin of a novel weed. Sci. Rep. 7: 1560. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-01478-w
20. van de Wiel CCM *et al.* (2011). Crop volunteers and climate change. Effects of future climate change on the occurrence of Maize, Sugar Beet and Potato volunteers in the Netherlands. COGEM research report 2011-11
21. US-EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; 2010). Biopesticide registration action document, Cry1Ab and Cry1F *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Bt) corn plant-incorporated protectants. https://www3.epa.gov/pesticides/chem_search/reg_actions/pip/cry1f-cry1ab-brad.pdf (visited: June 17th 2021)
22. Herman RA *et al.* (2002). Binary insecticidal crystal protein from *Bacillus thuringiensis*, strain PS149B1: effects of individual protein components and mixtures in laboratory bioassays. J. Econ. Entomol. 95: 635-639
23. Ellis RT *et al.* (2002). Novel *Bacillus thuringiensis* binary insecticidal crystal proteins active on Western corn rootworm, *Diabrotica virgifera virgifera* LeConte. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 68: 1137-1145
24. Moellenbeck DJ *et al.* (2001). Insecticidal proteins from *Bacillus thuringiensis* protect corn from corn rootworms. Nat. Biotechnol. 19: 668-672
25. Kelker MS *et al.* (2014). Structural and biophysical characterization of *Bacillus thuringiensis* insecticidal proteins Cry34Ab1 and Cry35Ab1. PLoS ONE 9 doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0112555

26. Narva KE *et al.* (2017). Safety considerations derived from Cry34Ab1/Cry35Ab1 structure and function. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* 142: 27-33
27. Drury SM *et al.* (2008). Composition of forage and grain from second-generation insect-protected corn MON 89034 is equivalent to that of conventional corn (*Zea mays* L.). *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 12: 4623-4630
28. Devos Y *et al.* (2012). Bt-maize event MON 88017 expressing Cry3Bb1 does not cause harm to nontarget organisms. *Transgenic Res.* 6: 1191-1214
29. Funke T *et al.* (2006). Molecular basis for the herbicide resistance of Roundup Ready crops. *PNAS* 103: 13010-13015
30. Wohlleben W *et al.* (1988). Nucleotide sequence of the phosphinothricin N-acetyltransferase gene from *Streptomyces viridochromogenes* Tü494 and its expression in *Nicotiana glauca*. *Gene* 70: 25-37
31. Eckes P *et al.* (1989). A synthetic gene confers resistance to the broad spectrum herbicide L-phosphinothricin in plants. *J. Cell. Biochem. Suppl.* 13D, 334, Abstract M516
32. Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) (1999). Consensus document on general information concerning the genes and their enzymes that confer tolerance to phosphinothricin herbicide
33. Herman RA *et al.* (2010). Compositional safety of event DAS-40278-9 (AAD-1) herbicide-tolerant maize. *GM Crops.* 1: 294-311
34. Ramaseshadri P *et al.* (2013). Physiological and cellular responses caused by RNAi-mediated suppression of *Snf7* orthologue in western corn rootworm (*Diabrotica virgifera virgifera*) larvae. *PLoS One.* 8(1):e54270
35. COGEM (2014). Reconsideration of het molecular characterisation criteria for marketing authorisation of GM crops. COGEM topic report CGM/140929-02
36. COGEM (2010). General Surveillance. COGEM topic report CGM/100226-01
37. COGEM (2015). Advice on improving the general surveillance of GM crops. COGEM advice CGM/150601-02