

Import and processing of herbicide tolerant genetically modified maize DP202216 with enhanced grain yield potential

COGEM advice CGM/191129-04

- The present application (EFSA/GMO/NL/2019/159) concerns the authorisation for import and processing for use in feed and food of genetically modified (GM) maize DP202216;
- Maize DP202216 was produced by *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* mediated transformation;
- Maize DP202216 expresses the *zmm28* gene leading to increased and extended production of the endogenous ZMM28 protein resulting in plants with an enhanced grain yield potential. Furthermore it expresses a modified *pat* gene (*mo-pat*) conferring tolerance to glufosinate-ammonium containing herbicides;
- In the Netherlands, feral maize populations have never been observed and the appearance of volunteers is rare;
- In the Netherlands, the wild relative of maize, teosinte is not present in maize fields or in nature and hybridisation of GM maize with other species is therefore not possible;
- The molecular characterisation of maize DP202216 meets the criteria of COGEM;
- There are no indications that the introduced traits alter the fitness of maize DP202216 under natural conditions;
- There are no reasons to assume that the introduced traits will allow GM maize DP202216 to survive in the Dutch environment;
- COGEM is of the opinion that import and processing of maize DP202216 poses a negligible risk to the environment in the Netherlands;
- COGEM abstains from giving advice on the potential risks of incidental consumption since a food/feed assessment is carried out by other organisations.

1. Introduction

The present application (EFSA/GMO/NL/2019/159), filed by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, concerns the import and processing of genetically modified (GM) maize DP202216, for use in feed and food. Maize DP202216 was produced by *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* mediated transformation. Maize DP202216 expresses a modified *pat* gene (*mo-pat*) conferring tolerance to glufosinate-ammonium containing herbicides. Furthermore, it expresses the *zmm28* gene leading to increased and extended production of the endogenous ZMM28 protein resulting in plants with an enhanced grain yield potential.^{1,2}

2. Previous COGEM advices

COGEM previously issued several advices on the import, processing and cultivation of GM maize lines containing the *pat* gene in combination with additional transgenic traits. COGEM advised positively on the import, processing and cultivation of Bt11 maize containing the *pat* gene (in combination with the *cry1Ab* gene).^{e.g. 3,4,5,6} COGEM has not advised previously on the import, processing or cultivation of maize lines expressing the *zmm28* gene. COGEM did advise positively on the import and processing of maize MON87403 containing the gene *ATHB17*. As a result maize MON87403 has an increased ear biomass.⁷

3. Environmental risk assessment

3.1 Characteristics of maize

Maize (*Zea mays*) is a member of the grass family *Poaceae*. It is a highly domesticated crop originating from Central America, but nowadays cultivated globally. Maize is wind pollinated,^{8,9} and has both male and female flowers that are spatially separated. The female flowers are not attractive to insect pollinators, because they do not produce nectar. Insect pollination of maize is highly limited but cannot be excluded.¹⁰

Hybridisation of GM maize with other species than teosinte, the wild relative of maize, cannot occur. Recently, teosinte was detected in Spain^{11,12,13} and France.^{14,15} In these countries, it is present as a weed in some arable fields. In the Netherlands, teosinte is absent in maize fields and in nature.¹⁶

Maize requires warm conditions in order to grow.^{10,17} In cultivation areas with warm climatic conditions, the appearance of volunteers can occur the year following maize cultivation due to spilled cobs or kernels. However, these volunteers are usually killed by common mechanical pre-planting soil preparation practices.¹⁰ Maize does not tolerate prolonged cold and frost.¹⁶

Maize is very sensitive to weed competition.¹⁸ During the long process of domestication, maize has lost the ability to persist in the wild.⁹ A soil seed bank, small seeds, and an extended period of flowering and seed production are characteristics often observed in persistent weeds.¹⁹ Maize lacks all these characteristics. After ripening, the seeds (the kernels) adhere to the cob and do not scatter naturally.^{10,20} Consequently, seed dispersal is severely hampered.

During field observations in Austria some volunteers and maize plants were observed in non-agricultural habitats.²¹ In the Netherlands, the appearance of volunteers is rare, although maize plants occasionally have been observed outside agricultural fields.^{15,22} Any volunteers emerging in will be killed by frost at the onset of winter.¹⁶ COGEM is not aware of any reports of feral maize populations in the Netherlands.¹⁶

<p>Conclusion: In the Netherlands, feral maize populations do not occur and hybridisation of maize with other species is impossible.</p>

3.2 Description of the introduced genes, traits and regulatory elements

DP202216 was developed using *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* mediated transformation with plasmid PHP40099. The inserted transfer DNA (T-DNA) from plasmid PHP40099 contains two gene cassettes. A description of the inserted genetic elements is listed in the table below. The list is limited to information on the introduced genes, corresponding traits, and regulatory elements (promoters and terminators).

Introduced genes	Encoded proteins	Traits	Regulatory elements
<i>zmm28</i>	MADS-domain transcription factor gene originating from <i>Zea mays</i> encoding for ZMM28 protein ^{2,1,23,24}	Plants with enhanced grain yield potential	Constitutive promoter (<i>zm-gos2</i>) and intron from the ubiquitin gene 1 (<i>ubiZM1</i>) from <i>Z. mays</i> and proteinase inhibitor II (<i>pinII</i>) gene terminator from <i>Solanum tuberosum</i>
<i>mo-pat</i>	Maize codon-optimised version of phosphinothricin acetyl transferase (<i>pat</i>) gene from <i>Streptomyces viridochromogenes</i> ^{25,26,27}	Tolerance to glufosinate-ammonium containing herbicides	Promoter, 5'UTR and intron from the <i>ubiZM1</i> gene from <i>Z. mays</i> and <i>pinII</i> terminator from <i>S. tuberosum</i>
See reference for a detailed description of the trait			

3.2.1 Overexpression of *zmm28* in DP202216

In conventional maize, the *zmm28* gene is expressed in leaf tissue at the V6 to R5 growth stage and the ZMM28 protein is not expressed at detectable levels in R6 grain. DP202216 maize contains a gene cassette with a constitutive maize promoter (*zm-gos2*), which drives expression of the maize gene (*zmm28*) and initiates expression of the ZMM28 protein at an earlier growth stage in leaves (V2), and expresses the protein for an extended period of time in grain (including the R6 growth stage).¹ According to the applicant, the increased and extended expression of the ZMM28 protein has been shown to enhance grain yield potential in plants across multiple years and diverse growing locations.²

3.3 Molecular characterisation

The applicant performed Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) method known as Southern-by-Sequencing (SbS) to analyse the first generation progeny plants after transformation (T1) of DP202216 maize. SbS analysis showed that for six plants a single intact PHP40099 T-DNA (intact with exception of the border regions, see below) was inserted into the genome of DP202216 maize and that no backbone sequences are present in DP202216. Furthermore, the exact sequence of the insert and the flanking borders was obtained by Sanger sequencing. The total length of genomic sequence determined in DP202216 maize is 10,091 bp, comprising of 1,283 bp of the 5' flanking genomic border sequence, 1,372 bp of the 3' flanking genomic border sequence, and 7,436 bp of the inserted T-DNA (PHP40099 T-DNA length 7,470 bp). The inserted T-DNA in DP202216 maize was

found to lack 22-bp at the right border and 12-bp at the left border. Right border and left border termini trimming often occurs in *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation.²⁸ The remainder of the DP202216 insert is intact.

Bioinformatic analyses of the DP202216 insertion flanking borders revealed that the insert is most likely located on chromosome 1 of the maize genome, and that 6 bp are deleted at the insertion site from the maize genome.

According to the applicant, bioinformatics analyses indicate that 1,283 bp upstream of the insert no endogenous maize genes are present. Downstream of the insert, there was a single alignment between the 3' genomic border (1,372 bp) and a predicted mRNA in the nucleotide database, but there were only two moderate-quality expressed sequence tag (EST) alignments and no significant protein alignments. Overall, according to the applicant, it is unlikely that endogenous genes are disrupted at the DP202216 insertion site.

The applicant screened the junctions between the T-DNA insert and the flanking maize genomic DNA as well as the entire insert (stop codon-bracketed frames) for potential newly created open reading frames (ORFs). According to the applicant, except for two low level identity alignments with two known allergens that were considered false positives, no significant sequence similarities between the putative products of these ORFs and no known allergenic, toxic or other biologically active proteins were detected in these bioinformatics analyses.

The molecular characterisation was conducted according to the criteria previously laid down by COGEM.²⁹

Conclusion: The molecular characterisation of maize DP202216 is adequate and no indications for potential environmental risks were identified.

3.4 Phenotypic and agronomic characteristics

The applicant evaluated germination and detected that germination rates of DP202216 maize under warm, cold, diurnal (simulated emergence under daily temperature fluctuations) growing conditions were comparable to control maize under corresponding conditions.

The applicant analysed the phenotypic and agronomic characteristics of DP202216. The introduced traits do not give reason to assume that DP202216 has an altered survivability compared to non-GM conventional counterparts and commercial reference maize lines under natural conditions.

Therefore, COGEM is of the opinion that there are no indications that the introduced traits allow maize DP202216 to survive or establish in the Dutch environment.

Conclusion: DP202216 does not have an increased potential for the establishment of feral populations in the Netherlands.

4. Food/feed assessment

This application is submitted under Regulation (EC) 1829/2003, therefore a food/feed assessment is carried out by EFSA and national organisations involved in the assessment of food safety. In the Netherlands, a food and/or feed assessment for Regulation (EC) 1829/2003 applications is carried out by Wageningen Food Safety Research (WFSR). The outcome of the assessment by other organisations (EFSA, WFSR) was not known when this advice was completed.

5. Post-market environmental monitoring (PMEM)

The applicant supplied a general surveillance plan as part of the PMEM. COGEM has published several recommendations for further improvement of the general surveillance (GS) plan,^{30,31} but considers the current GS plan adequate for the import and processing of maize DP202216.

6. Overall conclusion

COGEM is of the opinion that import and processing of maize DP202216 poses a negligible risk to the environment in the Netherlands. COGEM abstains from giving advice on the potential risks of incidental consumption since other organisations carry out a food/feed assessment.

References

1. Anderson JA *et al.* (2019). Evaluation of the history of safe use of the maize ZMM28 protein. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 67: 7466-7474
2. Wu J *et al.* (2019). Overexpression of zmm28 increases maize grain yield in the field. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* doi: 10.1073/pnas.1902593116
3. COGEM (2019). Second renewal of the authorisation for import and processing of genetically modified maize Bt11. COGEM advice CGM/190211-01
4. COGEM (2018). Import and processing of genetically modified maize MON89034x1507xMIR162xNK603xDAS-40278-9 and sub-combinations COGEM advice CGM/181220-03
5. COGEM (2016). Application for cultivation of genetically modified maize Bt11: assessment of COGEM advice in view of EFSA opinions. COGEM advice CGM/160929-02
6. COGEM (2017). Import and processing of insect resistant and herbicide tolerant genetically modified maize MZIR098. COGEM advice CGM/171013-01
7. COGEM (2015). Import and processing of genetically modified maize MON87403 with increased biomass. COGEM advice CGM/151210-01
8. Hin CJA (2001). Landbouwkundige risico's van uitkruising van GGO-gewassen. Centrum voor Landbouw en Milieu (CLM) [In Dutch]
9. Treu R & Emberlin J (2000). Pollen dispersal in the crops Maize (*Zea mays*), Oil Seed Rape (*Brassica napus ssp. oleifera*), Potatoes (*Solanum tuberosum*), Sugar Beet (*Beta vulgaris ssp. vulgaris*) and Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). Evidence from Publications. Soil Association

10. Andersson M & Carmen de Vicente M (2010). Gene flow between crops and their wild relatives. The John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, Maryland, The United States of America
11. Pardo G *et al.* (2014). El Teosinte (*Zea mays*, spp.). Cent. Sanid. y Certificación Veg. DGA [in Spanish]
12. Pardo G *et al.* (2015). Presencia de teosinte (*Zea* spp.) como mala hierba en los regadíos del valle del Ebro. XV Congr. la Soc. Española Malherbología La Malherbología y la Transf. tecnológica Sevilla, 417-424 [In Spanish]
13. Pardo G *et al.* (2016). El teosinte: descripción, situación actual en el valle del Ebro y resultados de los primeros ensayos. Vida Rural.408: 42-47 [In Spanish]
14. Agri79 (2013). Téosite - La téosite exige une vigilance toute particulière. <http://agri79.reussir.fr/public/index.php?a=article&codeArticle=JFNK3KKU> (visited: October 18th 2019) [In French]
15. Trtikova M *et al.* (2017). Teosinte in Europe - Searching for the origin of a novel weed. Sci. Rep. 7: 1560. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-01478-w
16. Huiting HF *et al.* (2018). Are teosinte and feral maize present in the Netherlands? COGEM report CGM 2018-06
17. Miedema P (1982). The effect of low temperature on *Zea mays*. Advances in Agronomy 35: 93-128
18. CAB International (2007). Crop Protection Compendium. *Zea mays* (maize). CD-ROM edition, Wallingford
19. Kos SP *et al.* (2012). Can transgenic crops go wild? A literature study on using plant traits for weediness pre-screening. COGEM research report CGM 2012-01
20. Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) (2003). Consensus document on the biology of *Zea mays* ssp. *mays* (Maize)
21. Pascher K (2016). Spread of volunteer and feral maize plants in Central Europe: recent data from Austria. Environ. Sci. Eur. 28: 30
22. van de Wiel CCM *et al.* (2011). Crop volunteers and climate change. Effects of future climate change on the occurrence of Maize, Sugar Beet and Potato volunteers in the Netherlands. COGEM research report 2011-11
23. Münster T *et al.* (2002). Maize MADS-Box Genes Galore. Maydica 47: 287-301
24. Pařenicová L *et al.* (2003). Molecular and phylogenetic analyses of the complete MADS-box transcription factor family in Arabidopsis: new openings to the MADS world. Plant Cell. 15: 1538-1551
25. Wohlleben W *et al.* (1988). Nucleotide sequence of the phosphinothricin N-acetyltransferase gene form *Streptomyces viridochromogenes* Tü494 and its expression in *Nicotiana tabacum*. Gene 70: 25-37
26. Eckes P, Uijtewaal B and Donn G (1989). A synthetic gene confers resistance to the broad spectrum herbicide L-phosphinothricin in plants. Journal of Cellular Biochemistry Supplement 13D, 334, Abstract M516
27. Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) (1999). Consensus document on general information concerning the genes and their enzymes that confer tolerance to phosphinothricin herbicide.
28. Kim SI *et al.* (2007). Genome-wide analysis of Agrobacterium T-DNA integration sites in the Arabidopsis genome generated under non-selective conditions. Plant J. 51: 779-791

29. COGEM (2014). Reconsideration of the molecular characterisation criteria for marketing authorisation of GM crops. COGEM topic report CGM/140929-02
30. COGEM (2010). General Surveillance. COGEM topic report CGM/100226-01
31. COGEM (2015). Advice on improving the general surveillance of GM crops. COGEM advice CGM/150601-02