

Aan de staatssecretaris van
Infrastructuur en Milieu
dhr. J. J. Atsma
Postbus 30945
2500 GX Den Haag

DATUM 22 augustus 2011
KENMERK CGM/110822-01
ONDERWERP Reactie op EFSA opinie herbicidentolerante soja 356043

Geachte heer Atsma,


De COGEM is door uw ministerie gevraagd te adviseren over de import en verwerking van soja 356043 naar aanleiding van het verschijnen van de EFSA opinie betreffende deze sojalinj (EFSA/GMO/UK/2007/43). De COGEM adviseert u als volgt.

Samenvatting

In mei 2007 heeft de COGEM geadviseerd over import en verwerking van de genetisch gemodificeerde sojalinj 356043. Deze lijn brengt de genen *gat4601* en *gm-hra* tot expressie waardoor de plant tolerant is voor glyfosaatbevattende herbiciden en herbiciden die het acetolactaat-synthase remmen.

Hoewel de COGEM de milieurisico's van deze sojalinj verwaarloosbaar klein achtte, kon zij niet positief over de lijn adviseren omdat de moleculaire karakterisatie onvolledig was. Daarnaast had zij reserves bij de kwaliteit van de uitgevoerde toxiciteitstudies. Hierdoor kon zij op basis van de aangeleverde gegevens niet uitsluiten dat bij incidentele consumptie nadelige effecten zouden optreden. De COGEM plaatste verder enkele kanttekeningen bij het 'general surveillance' plan.

Naar aanleiding van het commentaar van de verschillende lidstaten heeft de EFSA de aanvrager om extra informatie gevraagd. Dit betrof onder meer aanvullende sequentie analyses ter verbetering van de moleculaire karakterisatie en uitbreiding van het 'general surveillance' plan. De COGEM is van mening dat de aanvullende moleculaire karakterisatie voldoet aan de door haar in 2008 opgestelde criteria. Het vernieuwde 'general surveillance' plan voldoet aan de door de COGEM in 2010 opgestelde eisen. Omdat andere instanties een voedselveiligheidsbeoordeling uitvoeren, heeft de COGEM bij deze vergunningaanvraag de risico's van incidentele consumptie niet beoordeeld. Concluderend acht de COGEM op grond van de aangeleverde extra informatie de milieurisico's van import en verwerking van sojalinj 356043 verwaarloosbaar klein.



De door de COGEM gehanteerde overwegingen en het hieruit voortvloeiende advies treft u hierbij aan als bijlage.

Hoogachtend,



Prof. dr. ir. Bastiaan C.J. Zoeteman
Voorzitter COGEM

c.c. Dr. I. van der Leij
Drs. H.P. de Wijs

Additional advice on import and processing of herbicide tolerant soybean 356043

COGEM advice CGM/110822-01

Summary

*Recently, EFSA published its opinion for placing on the market of genetically modified herbicide tolerant soybean line 356043, expressing the *gat4601* and *gm-hra* genes. EFSA concludes that food and feed uses, import and processing of soybean 356043 are unlikely to have any adverse effect on human and animal health and the environment.*

In 2007 COGEM did not advise positively on the import and processing of soybean 356043. Although the environmental risks were negligibly small in the opinion of COGEM, the molecular characterization was incomplete. Besides, COGEM had its reservations on the quality of the toxicity studies performed and questioned some aspects of the general surveillance (GS) plan. Based on comments and opinions submitted by Member States, EFSA requested the applicant to provide additional information. The new information has been incorporated in the current EFSA opinion.

The revised molecular characterization and GS plan fulfill the criteria as laid down by COGEM. As a food/feed safety assessment is carried out by other organizations, COGEM did not assess new information concerning risks on incidental consumption in this case. In conclusion COGEM is of the opinion that import and processing of soybean line 356043 pose a negligible risk to the environment.

Introduction

Recently, EFSA published its opinion for placing herbicide tolerant soybean line 356043 on the market. EFSA concludes that food and feed uses, import and processing of soybean 356043 are unlikely to have any adverse effect on human and animal health and the environment. Soybean 356043 contains and expresses the *gat4601* and *gm-hra* genes which confer tolerance to glyphosate based and acetolactate synthase–inhibiting herbicides, respectively.

The Netherlands' Ministry of Infrastructure and the Environment (IenM) asked COGEM whether the opinion of the EFSA GMO panel including additional information from the applicant gives reason to reconsider its previous advice.

Previous COGEM advice

In 2007 COGEM did not advise positively on the import and processing of soybean line 356043.¹ COGEM commented on the information regarding the molecular characterization and had its reservations on the quality of the toxicity studies performed. Besides, she questioned some aspects on the general surveillance plan. Comments are as follows.

Comments on the molecular characterization

Based on the DNA sequence analysis of soybean line 356043 it was not clear whether rearrangements and deletions around the insertion site had taken place. Besides, the junctions between the T-DNA and its flanking regions were examined for potential novel open reading

frames (ORFs). The applicant defined an ORF as a region that initiates with an ATG codon and ends with any of the three stop codons TAA, TAG or TGA. COGEM pointed out that translation may initiate with other codons or that an ORF, which does not start with an ATG, may become part of a longer ORF by the process of pre-mRNA splicing. Therefore, COGEM is of the opinion that bioinformatic analyses on the junction between the T-DNA and its flanking regions have to be performed from stop to stop codon.

Comments on toxicity studies

COGEM had its reservations on the quality of toxicity studies performed and therefore could not draw conclusions regarding any potential adverse effects related to incidental consumption.

General surveillance plan

In the opinion of COGEM the applicant should describe in more detail how the general surveillance (GS) is organized and which organizations are involved. In addition, the applicant should ascertain that information on eventual adverse effects is indeed obtained. Direct and indirect effects should be reported annually. However, because soybean can not survive in the North-Western European climate, COGEM noted that a general surveillance plan in this specific case was of less importance for the situation in the Netherlands.

Consideration and advice

In 2008 COGEM revised its criteria for the molecular characterization of genetically modified organisms being placed on the market.² On request of EFSA the applicant performed additional bioinformatic analyses to complement the molecular characterisation. The additional analyses fulfill the criteria laid down by COGEM. All 12 putative ORFs spanning the junction between insert and flanking regions were bioinformatically analysed from stop to stop codon. No similarities were found to known toxins and allergens.

Since 2008, COGEM abstains from advices on the potential risks of incidental consumption in case a food/feed safety assessment is already carried out by other organizations. This application is submitted under Regulation (EC) 1829/2003, therefore a food/feed safety assessment is carried out by EFSA. Other organizations who advise the competent authorities can perform an additional assessment on food safety although this is not obligatory. In the Netherlands a food and/or feed safety assessment for Regulation (EC) 1829/2003 applications is carried out by RIKILT. Therefore, COGEM did not assess new information concerning food and/or feed safety and risks on incidental consumption.

On request of EFSA the applicant has updated and complemented the monitoring plan. In 2010 COGEM revised its criteria concerning GS plans for import and cultivation of GM crops.³ Although the GS plan could be improved by a guarantee that operators will monitor for unanticipated effects, COGEM considers the current GS plan sufficient for import and processing of soybean 356043.

The revised molecular characterization and GS plan fulfill the criteria as laid down by COGEM. As a food/feed safety assessment is carried out by other organizations, COGEM did not assess new information concerning risks on incidental consumption in this case. In conclusion COGEM

is of the opinion that the risks associated with import and processing of soybean 356043 are negligibly small.

References

1. COGEM (2007). Import and processing of herbicide tolerant soybean 356043. COGEM advice CGM/071129-01
2. COGEM (2008). Signalering moleculaire karakterisering. Signalering CGM/081219-01
3. COGEM (2010). General Surveillance. Signalering CGM/100226-01