

Aan de staatssecretaris van
Infrastructuur en Milieu
Mevrouw S.A.M. Dijkma
Postbus 20901
2500 EX Den Haag

DATUM 29 september 2017
KENMERK CGM/170929-02
ONDERWERP Advies import en verwerking herbicidentolerante genetisch gemodificeerde maïs MON87419

Geachte mevrouw Dijkma,

Naar aanleiding van een vergunningaanvraag voor de import en verwerking van genetisch gemodificeerde maïs MON87419 (EFSA-GMO-NL-2017-140), ingediend door Monsanto Europe S.A./N.V., deelt de COGEM u het volgende mee.

Samenvatting:

De COGEM is gevraagd te adviseren over de mogelijke milieurisico's van import en verwerking van de genetisch gemodificeerde (gg-) maïslijn MON87419. In deze lijn komen de genen *dmo* en *pat* tot expressie, waardoor het gewas tolerant is voor bepaalde herbiciden.

Verwildering van maïsplanten is in Nederland nooit waargenomen. Maïsplanten uit gemorst zaad (opslagplanten) worden hier nauwelijks aangetroffen. Bovendien zijn er in Nederland geen wilde verwanten van maïs aanwezig, waardoor de ingebrachte sequenties zich niet naar andere soorten kunnen verspreiden.

De moleculaire karakterisering van MON87419 voldoet aan de eisen van de COGEM. Er zijn geen redenen om aan te nemen dat expressie van de ingebrachte genen ervoor zorgt dat deze gg-maïslijn zou kunnen verwilderen.


Gezien het bovenstaande acht de COGEM de milieurisico's van de import en verwerking van de gg-maïslijn MON87419 verwaarloosbaar klein.

Omdat een voedselveiligheidsbeoordeling door andere instanties wordt uitgevoerd, heeft de COGEM bij deze vergunningaanvraag de risico's van incidentele consumptie niet beoordeeld.



De door de COGEM gehanteerde overwegingen en het hieruit voortvloeiende advies treft u hierbij aan als bijlage.

Hoogachtend,



Prof. dr. ing. Sybe Schaap
Voorzitter COGEM

c.c. Drs. H.P. de Wijs, Hoofd Bureau ggo
 Mr. J.K.B.H. Kwisthout, Ministerie van IenM
 Ing. M.A.C. Möllers, Food-Feed loket

Import and processing of herbicide tolerant genetically modified maize MON87419

COGEM advice CGM/170929-02

- The present application (EFSA-GMO-NL-2017-140) concerns the authorisation for import and processing for use in feed and food of genetically modified (GM) maize MON87419;
- Maize MON87419 was produced by *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* mediated transformation with two separate T-DNA cassettes. T-DNA I contains the *dmo* and *pat* expression cassettes and T-DNA II contains the *cp4 epsps* expression cassette;
- Subsequently, crossing, segregation, screening and selection were used to isolate those plants that contain the *dmo* and *pat* gene expression cassettes (T-DNA I) and do not contain the *cp4 epsps* expression cassette (T-DNA II);
- This resulted in the MON87419 maize GM line which expresses the *dmo* and *pat* genes conferring tolerance to dicamba and glufosinate-ammonium containing herbicides;
- In the Netherlands, feral maize populations have never been observed and the appearance of volunteers is rare;
- In the Netherlands, wild relatives of maize are absent and hybridisation of maize with other species is therefore not possible;
- The molecular characterisation of maize MON87419 meets the criteria of COGEM;
- There are no indications that the introduced traits alter the fitness of maize MON87419 under natural conditions;
- There are no reasons to assume that the introduced traits will allow GM maize MON87419 to survive in the Dutch environment;
- COGEM is of the opinion that import and processing of maize MON87419 poses a negligible risk to the environment in the Netherlands;
- COGEM abstains from giving advice on the potential risks of incidental consumption since a food/feed assessment is carried out by other organisations.

1. Introduction

The present application (EFSA-GMO-NL-2017-140), filed by Monsanto Europe S.A./N.V., concerns import and processing of genetically modified (GM) maize MON87419, for use in feed and food. Maize MON87419 was produced by *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* mediated transformation and subsequent crossing, segregation, screening and selection. The GM maize line

contains the *dmo* and *pat* genes, conferring tolerance to dicamba and glufosinate-ammonium containing herbicides.

2. Previous COGEM advices

COGEM did not previously advise on the import and processing of maize lines containing the *dmo* and *pat* genes. COGEM did advise positively on the import and processing of several maize lines containing the *pat* gene in combination with additional transgenic traits, such as insect resistance.^{1,2,3,4} COGEM has not previously advised on the import and processing of maize lines containing the *dmo* gene, but has advised positively on soybean and cotton lines containing this gene, including lines harbouring additional transgenic traits.^{5,6,7,8}

3. Environmental risk assessment

3.1 Aspects of the wild-type crop

Maize (*Zea mays*) is a member of the grass family *Poaceae*. It is a highly domesticated crop originating from Central America, but nowadays cultivated globally. Maize is wind pollinated,^{9,10} and has both male and female flowers that are spatially separated. Female flowers are not attractive to insect pollinators, because they do not produce nectar. Insect pollination of maize is probably highly limited but cannot be excluded.¹¹

Recently the wild relative of maize teosinte, has been reported as a weed in maize fields in Spain^{12,13,14} and France.^{15,16} In the Netherlands, no wild relatives of maize are present and hybridisation with other species cannot occur.

Maize requires warm conditions in order to grow and does not tolerate prolonged cold and frost.^{11,17} In cultivation areas with warmer climatic conditions, the appearance of volunteers can occur the year following maize cultivation due to spilled cobs or kernels. However, these volunteers are usually killed by common mechanical pre-planting soil preparation practices.¹¹

Maize is very sensitive to weed competition.¹⁸ During the long process of domestication, maize has lost the ability to persist in the wild.¹⁰ A soil seed bank, small seeds, and an extended period of flowering and seed production are characteristics often observed in persistent weeds.¹⁹ Maize lacks all these characteristics. After ripening, the seeds (the kernels) adhere to the cob and do not shatter naturally.^{11,20} Consequently, seed dispersal is severely hampered.

During field observations in Austria some volunteers and maize plants were observed in non-agricultural habitats.²¹ In the Netherlands, the appearance of volunteers is very rare, although, maize plants occasionally have been observed outside agricultural fields.²² COGEM is not aware of any reports of feral maize populations in the Netherlands or elsewhere in Europe.

| |
|---|
| <p>Conclusion: In the Netherlands, feral maize populations do not occur and hybridisation of maize with other species is not possible.</p> |
|---|

3.2 Description of the introduced genes and traits

Maize MON87419 was produced by *A. tumefaciens* mediated transformation using two separate T-DNA cassettes. The first T-DNA, designated as T-DNA I, contains the *dmo* and *pat* expression cassettes. The second T-DNA designated as T-DNA II, contains the *cp4 epsps* expression cassette. During transformation both T-DNA cassettes were inserted into the maize genome. Subsequently, crossing, segregation, screening and selection were used to isolate those plants that contain the *dmo* and *pat* gene expression cassettes (T-DNA I) and do not contain the *cp4 epsps* expression cassette (T-DNA II). This resulted in the GM MON87419 maize line, which expresses the *dmo* and *pat* genes conferring tolerance to dicamba and glufosinate-ammonium containing herbicides.

| Introduced genes | Encoded proteins (enzymes) | Traits |
|---|--|---|
| <i>dmo</i> | Two variants of the dicamba mono-oxygenase (DMO) enzyme from <i>Stenotrophomonas maltophilia</i> . Except for 7 or 12 additional amino acids (DMO+7 and DMO+12 respectively) derived from the CTP4 and an additional leucine at position two, the DMO protein variants are identical to the wild-type DMO protein of <i>S. maltophilia</i> . ^{6,23,24,25} | Tolerance to dicamba containing herbicides |
| <i>pat</i> | The phosphinothricin N-acetyltransferase (PAT) enzyme originating from <i>Streptomyces viridochromogenes</i> strain Tü 494 ^{1,26,27,28} | Tolerance to glufosinate-ammonium containing herbicides |
| For a detailed description of the traits see references | | |

3.3 Molecular characterisation

Next Generation Sequencing and Junction Sequence Analysis (NGS/JSA) showed that MON87419 contains one copy of the insert at a single integration locus and demonstrated the absence of PV-ZMHT507801 backbone and T-DNA II sequences. The applicant assessed the organisation of the elements within the DNA insert and the adjacent genomic DNA with directed sequence analysis and confirmed that each genetic element within the T-DNA I insert is intact, with the exception of the border regions. A comparison with the conventional control revealed that 602 bp were deleted from the maize genome. According to the applicant BLAST analyses indicate that the deleted DNA is not part of or within ~1000 bp of a protein-coding gene and no function could be assigned to the deleted DNA. Bioinformatic analyses indicate that no endogenous open reading frames (ORFs) are present in the region that flanks the T-DNA insertion site in the maize genome. According to the applicant it is therefore unlikely that endogenous genes were disrupted at the MON87419 insertion site. The applicant screened the sequences spanning the insertion sites at the 5' and 3' flanking regions for open reading frames (ORFs) from stop to stop codon. The applicant states that the putative products of the ORFs spanning the 5' and 3' junctions of the inserts, did not generate any relevant sequence similarity with known allergens, toxins or other biologically active proteins. Also, according to the applicant the T-DNA insert in MON87419 does not encode amino acid sequences with similarity to known allergens, toxins or other biologically active proteins present in

recent databases. The molecular characterisation was conducted according to the criteria previously laid down by COGEM.²⁹

Conclusion: The molecular characterisation of maize MON87419 is adequate and no indications for potential environmental risks were identified.

3.4 Phenotypic and agronomic characteristics

The applicant analysed the phenotypic and agronomic characteristics of MON87419. The introduced traits do not give reason to assume that MON87419 has an altered survivability compared to non-GM conventional counterparts and commercial reference maize hybrids under natural conditions. Therefore, COGEM is of the opinion that there are no indications that the introduced traits allow maize MON87419 to survive or establish in the Dutch environment.

Conclusion: MON87419 does not have an increased potential for the establishment of feral populations in the Netherlands.

4. Food/feed assessment

This application is submitted under Regulation (EC) 1829/2003, therefore a food/feed assessment is carried out by EFSA and national organisations involved in the assessment of food safety. In the Netherlands, RIKILT carries out a food and/or feed assessment for Regulation (EC) 1829/2003 applications. The outcome of the assessment by other organisations (EFSA, RIKILT) was not known when this advice was completed.

5. Post-market environmental monitoring (PMEM)

The applicant supplied a general surveillance plan as part of the PMEM. COGEM has published several recommendations for further improvement of the general surveillance (GS) plan,^{30,31} but considers the current GS plan adequate for import and processing of maize MON87419.

6. Overall conclusion

COGEM is of the opinion that import and processing of maize MON87419 poses a negligible risk to the environment in the Netherlands. COGEM abstains from giving advice on the potential risks of incidental consumption since other organisations carry out a food/feed assessment.

7. Additional remark

In order to assess the environmental risks of a GM crop it is important to know if the seeds can survive in the soil and can form a persistent seedbank. The formation of a persistent seedbank is an important characteristic of plants that are considered to be weeds.^{32,33} Although not relevant for the environmental risk assessment of MON87419, since maize does not form a seedbank and that there is no reason to assume that the introduced traits will change this, COGEM wants to point out that

the experiments that have been done to determine the germination rate of MON87419 are not adequate to test the formation of a persistent seedbank. The applicant has only tested the primary dormancy of MON87419 and states that there are no differences when compared to conventional maize. Information about all types of dormancy can however be important for the environmental risk assessment of other GM crops.

References

1. COGEM (2017). Renewal of the authorisation for import of feed of genetically modified 1507xNK603 maize. COGEM advice CGM/170731-02
2. COGEM (2017). Import and processing of genetically modified maize GA21xT25. COGEM advice CGM/170502-03
3. COGEM (2017). Import and processing of herbicide tolerant genetically modified maize MZHG0JG. COGEM advice CGM/170330-01
4. COGEM (2017). Import and processing of genetically modified maize MON89034x1507xNK603xDAS-40278-9. COGEM advice CGM/170131-01
5. COGEM (2011). Import of genetically modified soybean MON87708 with a new herbicide tolerance trait. COGEM advice CGM/110801-02
6. COGEM (2013). Import and processing of genetically modified herbicide tolerant cotton MON87701. COGEM advice CGM/130919-02
7. COGEM (2013). Import and processing of the genetically modified dicamba and glyphosate tolerant soybean line MON87708xMON89788. COGEM advice CGM/131210-02
8. COGEM (2016). Import and processing of the genetically modified soybean MON87705xMON87708xMON89788 with an altered fatty acid profile. COGEM advice CGM/160419-01
9. Hin CJA (2001). Landbouwkundige risico's van uitkruising van GGO-gewassen. Centrum voor Landbouw en Milieu (CLM)
10. Treu R & Emberlin J (2000). Pollen Dispersal in the Crops Maize (*Zea mays*), Oil Seed Rape (*Brassica napus* ssp. *oleifera*), Potatoes (*Solanum tuberosum*), Sugar Beet (*Beta vulgaris* ssp. *vulgaris*) and Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). Evidence from Publications. Soil Association
11. Andersson M & Carmen de Vicente M (2010). Gene flow between crops and their wild relatives. The John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, Maryland, The United States of America
12. Pardo G *et al.* (2014). El Teosinte (*Zea mays*, spp.). Cent. Sanid. y Certificación Veg. DGA.
13. Pardo G *et al.* (2015). Presencia de teosinte (*Zea* spp.) como mala hierba en los regadíos del valle del Ebro. XV Congr. la Soc. Española Malherbología La Malherbología y la Transf. tecnológica Sevilla, 19–22 Oct. 2015 417–424.
14. Pardo G *et al.* (2016). El teosinte: descripción, situación actual en el valle del Ebro y resultados de los primeros ensayos. Vida Rural.408:42–47
15. Agri79 (2013). Téosite - La téosite exige une vigilance toute particulière. <http://agri79.reussir.fr/public/index.php?a=article&codeArticle=JFNK3KKU> (bezocht: 5 september 2017).

16. Trtikova M *et al.* (2017). Teosinte in Europe - Searching for the Origin of a Novel Weed. *Sci. Rep.* 7: 1560. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-01478-w.
17. Miedema P (1982). The effect of low temperature on *Zea mays*. *Advances in Agronomy* 35: 93-128
18. CAB International (2007). *Crop Protection Compendium. Zea mays* (maize). CD-ROM edition, Wallingford
19. Kos SP *et al.* (2012). Can transgenic crops go wild? A literature study on using plant traits for weediness pre-screening. COGEM research report CGM 2012-01
20. Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) (2003). Consensus Document on the Biology of *Zea mays* ssp. *mays* (Maize)
21. Pascher K (2016). Spread of volunteer and feral maize plants in Central Europe: recent data from Austria. *Environ. Sci. Eur.* 28: 30
22. van de Wiel CCM *et al.* (2011). Crop volunteers and climate change. Effects of future climate change on the occurrence of maize, sugar beet and potato volunteers in the Netherlands. COGEM research report 2011-11
23. Wang X *et al.* (1997). A Three-Component Enzyme System Catalyzes the O Demethylation of the Herbicide Dicamba in *Pseudomonas maltophilia* DI-6. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 63: 1623-1666
24. Herman PL *et al.* (2005). A three-component dicamba O-demethylase from *Pseudomonas maltophilia*, strain DI-6: gene isolation, characterization, and heterologous expression. *J. Biol. Chem.* 280: 24759-24767
25. Wang C *et al.* (2016). Safety assessment of dicamba mono-oxygenases that confer dicamba tolerance to various crops. *Regul. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 81: 171-182
26. Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) (1999). Consensus document on general information concerning the genes and their enzymes that confer tolerance to phosphinothricin herbicide
27. Wohlleben W *et al.* (1988). Nucleotide sequence of the phosphinothricin *N*-acetyltransferase gene from *Streptomyces viridochromogenes* Tü494 and its expression in *Nicotiana tabacum*. *Gene* 70: 25-37
28. Petit L *et al.* (2006). *Zea mays* transgenic phosphinothricin acetyltransferase gene, partial cds; and beta lactamase and phosphinothricin acetyltransferase genes, complete cds. GenBank: DQ156557.1 www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/DQ156557.1
29. COGEM (2014). Reconsideration of het molecular characterisation criteria for marketing authorisation of GM crops. COGEM topic report CGM/140929-02
30. COGEM (2010). General Surveillance. COGEM topic report CGM/100226-01
31. COGEM (2015). Advice on improving the general surveillance of GM crops. COGEM advice CGM/150601-02
32. Lingenfelter DD & Hartwig NL (2007). Introduction to weeds and herbicides. Ed. Penn State University Agricultural Research and Cooperative Extension, University Park, Pennsylvania
33. Davis AS *et al.* (2016). Interspecific variation in persistence of buried weed seeds follows trade-offs among physiological, chemical, and physical seed defences. *Ecol. Evol.* 6: 6836-6845